

Nematode resistance in plants: the battle underground

Valerie M. Williamson¹ and Amar Kumar^{1,2}

¹Department of Nematology, University of California, Davis, One Shield Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA ²Plant Pathology Programme, Scottish Crop Research Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, UK

Parasitic nematodes infect thousands of plant species, but some plants harbor specific resistance genes that defend against these pests. Several nematode resistance genes have been cloned in plants, and most resemble other plant resistance genes. Nematode resistance is generally characterized by host plant cell death near or at the feeding site of the endoparasitic worm. The timing and localization of the resistance response varies with the particular resistance gene and nematode interaction. Although there is genetic evidence that single genes in the nematode can determine whether a plant mounts a resistance response, cognate nematode effectors corresponding to a plant resistance gene have not been identified. However, recent progress in genetics and genomics of both plants and nematodes, and developments in RNA silencing strategies are improving our understanding of the molecular players in this complex interaction. In this article, we review the nature and mechanisms of plant-nematode interactions with respect to resistance in plants.

Introduction

The Nematoda comprise the largest animal phylum in terms of numbers of individuals and arguably numbers of species [1]. Most species, including the model animal Caenorhabditis elegans, are free living. However, animal and plant parasitic nematodes have received much attention owing to the devastating disease and damage that they can cause [2,3]. Worldwide losses as a result of plant-parasitic nematode infection have been reported to amount to >\$100 billion per year [4]. Although several different groups of nematodes are plant parasites, the most damaging are the root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.) and cyst nematodes (*Heterodera* and *Globodera* spp.) [5] (Box 1). These sophisticated soil-dwelling pests parasitize plant roots, usurping the nutritional resources of their hosts while evading or suppressing host defenses. Although nematicides have been successfully used to control nematodes, host resistance is a preferable alternative because of the expense and environmental toxicity of nematicides. To protect themselves from invading pathogens, hosts have evolved defense mechanisms including chemical and physical barriers and a highly specialized

Available online 24 May 2006

resistance (R) gene-mediated defense (Box 2). In recent years, it has been revealed that plants use similar R-genebased resistance mechanisms to protect themselves from nematodes [6].

Structure of nematode resistance genes

Several nematode resistance (Nem-R) genes have been isolated from plants, all conferring resistance against sedentary endoparasites (Table 1). The first nematode resistance gene (see Glossary) to be cloned was Hs1^{pro-1} from sugar beet, which confers resistance against the sugar beet cyst nematode [7]. The encoded protein does not have obvious similarities to known plant genes. However, other cloned Nem-*R* genes closely resemble known plant *R*-genes in their domain structure (Figure I in Box 2). Four of these genes, Mi-1, Hero A, Gpa2 and Gro1-4, all cloned from tomato or potato relatives, fall into the NBS-LRR class of *R*-genes (Box 2). The tomato genes *Mi-1* and *Hero A* confer broad-spectrum resistance against several root knot nematode species [8,9] and against several pathotypes of two potato cyst nematode species [10], respectively. By contrast, the potato genes Gpa2 [11] and Gro1-4 [12] confer resistance to a narrow range of pathotypes of a single potato cyst nematode species. Mi-1, Gpa2 and Hero A are members of the NBS-LRR class of plant *R*-genes that does not contain an N-terminal toll-interleukin receptor-like (TIR) domain. The Hero A gene product is 32% identical to *Mi-1* and $\sim 22\%$ identical to *Gpa2* at the amino acid level.

Glossary

Resistance gene (*R*-gene): a plant gene encoding a specificity determinant for activation of the plant defense response to a pathogen.

Corresponding authors: Williamson, V.M. (vmwilliamson@ucdavis.edu); Kumar, A. (akumar@scri.ac.uk).

Avirulence gene (*Avr* gene): a pathogen gene that encodes a protein that is recognized, or produces a product or modification that is recognized, by the host with the corresponding *R*-gene to elicit a defense response.

Gene-for-gene interaction: the allele-specific interaction between a host *R*-gene and a pathogen *Avr* gene that leads to a host resistance response.

Avirulence: inability of a pathogen to reproduce on a host containing a particular *R*-gene.

Virulence: ability of a pathogen to reproduce on a host containing a particular *R*-gene.

Pathogenicity gene: a pathogen gene whose direct or indirect product has a role in successful interaction with the host.

Elicitor: a protein or other compound from the nematode that is recognized by the host *R*-gene.

Hypersensitive response (HR): localized programmed cell death that occurs after recognition of the pathogen by a specific *R*-gene.

Pathotype: for some cyst nematode species, a strain or isolate is defined by its qualitative or in some cases quantitative success or lack of success at increasing in number on a set of specific host genotypes each with a known complement of *R*-genes.

Box 1. Life cycle of cyst and root-knot nematodes

Plant parasitic nematodes possess a specialized structure, called a stylet, a hollow, protrusible spear at their anterior end that is used to pierce plant cell walls. Secretions from the esophageal glands of the nematode are released through the stylet. It is also through the stylet that the nematode ingests cytoplasmic contents from plant cells. Cyst nematodes and root-knot nematodes are both sedentary endoparasites of plant roots and have similar life cycles (Figure I). Eggs hatch in soil as infective second stage juveniles (J2) (~0.4 mm long) [5]. Infective J2 penetrate the host root and then migrate towards the plant vascular system. In response to signals from the nematode, plant cells adjacent to the head of the nematode enlarge to form giant cells for root-knot or syncytia in the case of cyst nematodes, both large, multinucleate, metabolically active cells that serve as the source of nutrients for the developing endoparasite. For root-knot nematodes, swelling and cell division in the surrounding tissues leads to the formation of the galls or root-knots. Soon after feeding is initiated, nematodes begin to develop and become immobile. Adult females of both root-knot and cyst nematodes are bulbous and remain nonmotile, whereas males become vermiform, regain mobility and leave the root. Egg production begins 3-6 weeks after infection, depending on the species and conditions.



Figure I. The life cycle of a plant parasitic cyst nematode. Key stages in the life cycle of a cyst nematode female are shown in the center. (a) Infective second stage juvenile (J2) with stylet indicated by arrow (photo courtesy of J. D. Eisenback and E.C. McGawley; www.mactode.com). The J2 is ~ 0.4 mm in length. (b) The tail of a J2 (stained orange) can be seen as the nematode penetrates the plant root (adapted with permission from Ref. [33]). (c) An infected root, with a bulbous parasitic female (f), is shown on the left and an uninfected root is shown on the right (photo courtesy of J. D. Eisenback and U. Zunke, www.mactode.com). The elongated syncytium (s) consists of plants cells that have fused together and serve as the feeding cell for the developing nematode. (d) Root sections with egg-filled cysts of *Globodera rostochiensis* (top root) and *Globodera pallida* (bottom root) appearing as golden or light colored globes, respectively, decorating the roots (photo courtesy of J. D. Eisenback and U. Zunke).

In both cases, the similarity is greatest in the NBS region, as is typical for R-genes. Mi-1 and Hero A share an extended N-terminal region in contrast to most other R-genes (Figure I in Box 2). Gpa2 has a much shorter N-terminal region than either Mi-1 or Hero A. Gro1-4 encodes a member of the subclass of NBS-LRR proteins characterized by a TIR N-terminal region [12].

Nem-R genes also include members of the class of pathogen R-genes encoding proteins with extracellular LRR motifs. In soybean, genetic analyses determined that two unlinked loci, Rhg1 and Rhg4, condition resistance to

Heterodera glycines type 0 [13]. Rhg1 is necessary but not sufficient for resistance against all known biotypes. Rhg4is necessary but not sufficient for resistance against H. glycines type 0. Candidate genes for Rhg1 and Rhg4have been cloned and both encode putative proteins with extracellular LRRs, a transmembrane domain and a cytosolic serine-threonine kinase domain, structurally resembling the rice gene Xa21 that confers resistance against the bacterial pathogen Xanthomonas oryzae [14,15].

Evolutionary and functional relationships among *R*-genes

Plant *R*-genes generally belong to clustered multigene families ranging from a few to >30 homologs [16]. This clustered organization might contribute to evolutionary changes in specificity of resistance, but our understanding of this process is limited [17]. Mi-1 and Hero A are found in clusters of seven and 14 homologous copies, respectively [10,18]. Gpa2, a Nem-R-gene, and Rx1, which confers resistance against potato virus X, are in the same cluster of four homologous NBS-LRR genes on chromosome 12 in potato [11]. These genes are 88% identical in amino acid sequence, but confer resistance to unrelated pathogens. Similarly, although the tomato *Mi-1* and *Hero A* genes share an extended N-terminus, this motif has been noted in other *R*-genes in *Solanaceous* plants including the gene *Rpi-blb2* from wild potato *Solanum bulbocastanum*, which confers broad resistance to the oomycete Phytophthora infestans. [19]. In fact, Rpi-blb2, which is positioned in the syntenic position to Mi-1, is its closest homolog with known function sharing 82% amino acid sequence identity. Conversely, although potato Gro1 and tomato *Hero* A both confer resistance against pathotype Ro1 of Globodera rostochiensis, the two genes occupy different positions in the highly syntenic potato and tomato genomes [20] and share little sequence similarity. This suggests that they recognize the presence of different nematode avirulence factors and also trigger different signaling pathways. The molecular and evolutionary mechanisms that produce the diverse recognition capabilities of R-genes are a matter of current debate [16, 21, 22].

Many Nem-R genes have been noted phenotypically and mapped genetically (Table 1). Both H1 and Mi-3 have been fine mapped with BACs and should be cloned in the near future [23,24]. Mi-9 maps to the same gene cluster as Mi-1, but functions at greater temperatures than does Mi-1 [25]. RNA silencing experiments suggest this gene is a homolog of Mi-1 (I. Kaloshian, personal communication). The wheat Nem-R genes Cre1 and Cre3 co-localize with clusters of NBS-LRR genes [26], but functional confirmation has not been completed. Unfortunately, although Arabidopsis is a host of several nematode species [27], no nematode resistance genes have been described in this species, limiting the utility of this powerful system for characterization of nematode resistance.

Mi-1 also confers resistance against specific isolates of the potato aphid *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* [28] and against the white fly, *Bemisia tabaci* [29]. Although nematodes and piercing-sucking insects such as aphids Review

Box 2. Resistance genes and the plant innate immune response

Plants in agricultural settings and in their native environments are attacked by a wide variety of pathogens and pests. Nevertheless, most plants are resistant to most pathogens owing to an array of active and passive defenses [70]. As a central component of their innate immune system, plants have a repertoire of resistance genes (R-genes) whose products serve as surveillance proteins to protect them from specific pathogens (or in some cases specific strains of a pathogen) including viruses, bacteria and fungi [21,22,71]. Following recognition of the presence of a specific pathogen-produced effector, the product of what is sometimes called an avirulence (Avr) gene, the cognate R-gene initiates an array of defense responses typically including a rapid, localized cell death, or HR at the site of infection. Because the response requires both the presence of a specific gene in the pathogen and of the corresponding gene in the host, this type of resistance has been dubbed 'gene-for-gene'. More than 40 R-genes from various plant species have been cloned [21]. Most of these genes encode proteins having a domain structure that includes a central conserved region with a nucleotide-binding site (NBS) and a C-terminal leucine rich repeat (LRR) region (Figure I). For some NBS-LRR R-proteins the Nterminal region contains a Toll-interleukin receptor (TIR)-like domain. Other R-genes are characterized by a predicted coiled coil (CC) domain N-terminal to the NBS region. LRR motifs typically are involved in protein-protein interactions, and for R-genes there is evidence that they have roles in pathogen recognition and signal transduction [22]. The NBS is part of a larger conserved region of \sim 320 amino acids present in a large class of proteins that mediate recognition of specific molecules and undergo conformational changes leading to signaling of a response [22]. NBS-LRR proteins are predicted to be cytoplasmic or membrane-associated. A second broad class of *R*-genes encodes proteins with an extracellular LRR, a membrane-spanning region and, in some cases, a cytoplasmic kinase domain. These genes are thought to be receptors for extracellular elicitors.

Avr genes have been cloned in bacteria, viruses and fungi [22]. Although there are several examples in which the cognate *R*-gene and *Avr* genes are available, direct interaction has been detected in only a few cases [22]. This has led to a model in which Avr proteins modify host products, and these modifications are recognized by the R-genes that 'guard' the host products [71]. Several bacterial *Avr* genes have been shown to have roles in counteracting host defense [70]. We are just beginning to understand how *R*-gene products recognize pathogens and initiate defense signals and which downstream responses lead to resistance [70].



Figure I. A comparison of predicted protein structure of the cloned nematode resistance gene products. The five protein structure classes of plant Nem-R genes are shown in their predicted cellular location. The yellow line represents the cell membrane and the grey area represents the cytoplasm. The Nem-R genes *Mi-1, Hero A, Gpa2* and *Gro1-4* are predicted to be cytoplasmic, whereas *Rhg1*, *Rhg4* and *Hs1*^{pro-1} are predicted to span the cell membrane. Protein motifs are shown in the key (upper left). Abbreviations: LR indicates a leucine rich region; TM, a transmembrane domain; LRR, a leucine rich repeat region; kinase, a protein kinase domain; NBS, a conserved motif containing a nucleotide binding site; TIR, toll-interleukin receptor-like domain; N-1, amino terminal domain of NBS-LRR genes with long N-terminal regions; NT2, region immediately N-terminal to the NBS region of non-TIR NBS-LRR genes. The motif containing the letter N inside is at the amino terminus of the protein.

and whiteflies are evolutionarily distant, there are similarities in how they feed and in the defense responses that they induce in plants [30,31]. Although Mi-1 is the only cloned insect R-gene, there is evidence that similar genes, which recognize specific effectors to mediate resistance against piercing-sucking insects, do exist in a variety of plant species [30]. Recently an aphid resistance gene in *Medicago truncatula* was mapped to a single locus flanked by NBS-LRR resistance gene analogs [31].

Resistance phenotypes

Resistance to nematodes in plants is generally characterized by failure of the nematodes to produce functional feeding sites in the host after invasion and to develop subsequently as reproducing females. The production of a localized hypersensitive response (HR) and the signals induced in the host following nematode infection in resistant interactions so far seem similar to those induced by other pathogens [32,33]. However, the timing and localization of the response varies with the particular Nem-R gene-nematode interaction. For example, *Mi-1.2* mediated resistance is characterized by a rapid localized cell death that occurs near the anterior end of the nematode in the region of the root where feeding site initiation occurs [6]. In nematode-resistant tomatoes, neither the feeding site nor the nematodes develop.

Gene	Plant	Nematode	Refs
Cloned			
Hs1 ^{pro-1}	Sugar beet	Sugar beet cyst nematode: Heterodera schachtii	[7]
Mi-1	Tomato	Root-knot nematodes: <i>Meloidogyne incognita, M. javanica, M. arenaria;</i> Potato aphid: <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae;</i> White fly: <i>Bemisia tabaci</i>	[8,9]
Hero A	Tomato	Potato cyst nematode: <i>Globodera rostochiensis</i> pathotypes <i>Ro1, Ro3</i> and <i>Ro5</i> ; <i>Globodera pallida</i> pathotypes <i>Pa2 and Pa3</i> , and <i>Luffnes</i>	[10,33]
Gpa2	Potato	Potato cyst nematode: specific populations of G. pallida	[11]
Gro1-4	Potato	Potato cyst nematode: G. rostochiensis, pathotype Ro1	[12]
Rhg1 and Rhg4	Soybean	Soybean cyst nematode: Heterodera glycines type 0	[14,15]
Mapped ^a			
H1	Potato	Potato cyst nematode: G. rostochiensis, pathotypes Ro1 and Ro4	[23]
Mi-3	Tomato	Root-knot nematodes: Meloidogyne incognita, M. javanica, M. arenaria	[24]
Mi-9	Tomato	Root-knot nematodes: <i>M. incognita</i>	[25]
Cre1	Wheat	Cereal cyst nematode: Heterodera avenae, European and Australian pathotypes	[26]
Cre3	Wheat	Cereal cyst nematode: <i>H. avenae</i> , Australian pathotype	[26]
Ma	Plum	Root knot nematodes: all species tested	[66]
Hsa-1 ^{0g}	Rice	Cyst nematode: Heterodera sacchari	[67]
Me3	Pepper	Root knot nematodes: <i>Meloidogyne incognita, M. arenaria, M. javanica</i> and some <i>M. hapla</i> isolates	[68]
Rmc1	Potato	Root knot nematode: <i>Meloidogyne chitwoodi, M. fallax</i> and some <i>M. hapla</i> isolates	[69]

^aThis list of mapped genes is not comprehensive.

However, for other interactions such as Hero A-mediated resistance, the response seems to be initiated after feeding site induction and leads to atrophy or abnormal development of the feeding site [33]. The nematodes that do develop are mostly males, resulting in severe reduction in reproduction of the invading nematodes. Resistance coupled with a high ratio of males to females is commonly observed against cyst-forming nematodes (Ref. [33] and references therein). In another example, the pepper genes Me1 and Me3 each control the main root-knot nematode species that affect this plant, but the HR in response to nematode infection occurs much earlier in the interaction in the presence of Me3 than for Me1 [34]. Whether these timing differences reflect when the nematode elicitor is recognized or the timing or pathway of the response remains to be determined.

Nem-*R* gene expression, recognition and signal transduction

Most *R*-genes are constitutively and ubiquitously expressed in the plant, but the level of expression is typically low. This constitutive expression is consistent with the hypothesis that R-genes encode surveillance proteins that detect effector molecules from pathogens directly or indirectly and initiate an effective defense response. Mi-1 seems to be constitutively expressed [35,36], whereas Hero A is expressed constitutively in all tissues but is up-regulated in roots in response to potato cyst nematode infection [33]. Experiments with fusions of the $Hs1^{pro-1}$ promoter to a reporter gene indicate that expression of $Hs1^{pro-1}$ also increases after nematode infection, specifically in the nematode feeding sites [37]. Perhaps up-regulation of some Nem-R genes is required for maintaining or enhancing induction of the signal transduction pathways leading to resistance.

The role of a Nem-R protein in perceiving and signaling resistance has been most studied for Mi-1. A series of

in vitro mutagenesis experiments exchanging sequences of Mi-1.2, the functional copy of Mi-1, with its closely linked, nonfunctional paralog Mi-1.1 and transforming plants with the resulting constructs under the control of their endogenous promoters resulted in phenotypes ranging from loss of function to constitutive lethality [38,39]. Comparisons of phenotypes of mutants suggested a model in which the N-terminal 161 amino acids of Mi-1.2 repress the defense response mediated by the other parts of the protein. In this model, the presence of a specific elicitor produced directly or indirectly by the nematode, causes a conformational change in the Nem-R protein that results in the signaling of a defense response.

Information about the defense-response signaling leading to nematode resistance is limited, and perhaps only a subset of the responses initiated by pathogen recognition in the presence of the nematode are responsible for resistance. For example, although HR is frequently observed, it is unknown whether this response is a cause or consequence of nematode resistance. In fact for some plant-pathogen resistance responses, HR and resistance have been decoupled [40]. Salicylic acid (SA) has been shown to be required for resistance mediated by several R-genes [21]. Expression of the bacterial gene nahG, which encodes an enzyme that degrades SA to catechol, in Mi-1 tomato roots results in loss of resistance indicating that SA is required for signaling the resistance response [32]. The tomato homolog of an Arabidopsis gene EDS1 has been shown to be required for resistance mediated by some resistance genes in tomato, but not for *Mi*-mediated nematode resistance [41]. This is consistent with previous findings that show different R-genes act through different signaling pathways [21]. Although differential expression studies have identified genes induced after nematode infection in resistant plants, a function for these genes in resistance has not been confirmed [42,43]. Recent successes in silencing gene expression in roots have provided a new tool to examine the role of specific genes in the resistance response [44,45] (I. Kaloshian, personal communication).

Little is known about how the presence of specific nematodes is recognized by Nem-R genes. Whether a molecule from the nematode is recognized directly or by its effect on a host product is not known. There is specificity within each pest species for *Mi-1*-mediated resistance; that is, for each of the nematode species and the insect species against which Mi-1 is effective, there are strains that can escape recognition. One explanation is that *Mi-1* is capable of recognizing two or more elicitors, each specific to a different pest or pest group. It is also possible that *Mi-1* recognizes a plant product modified by both pests. Screening a mutagenized tomato population identified a tomato gene, *Rme-1* that is unlinked to *Mi-1*, but is required for nematode, aphid and whitefly resistance mediated by Mi-1 [46]. Rme-1 is not required for the function of other resistance genes tested and seems to act early in the signaling pathway, probably upstream of *Mi-1*. These properties make the *Rme-1* product a good candidate for the plant product targeted by the nematode (aphid and whitefly) product and guarded by Mi-1. Cloning of *Rme-1* will be necessary to test this hypothesis.

Function of Nem-R-genes in heterologous plants

A practical goal of isolating Nem-R genes is to transfer these genes to economically important crop plants where resistance is not available. Transgene-based resistance against severely damaging nematodes will have a clear benefit of reduced use of highly toxic nematicides [47]. So far intraspecific transfer of Nem-R genes by transgenic techniques has been successful, but there has been limited success in transferring these genes to new species. For example, Mi-1 confers effective resistance when transferred into susceptible tomato, but it does not confer resistance against the same nematode when introduced into tobacco or Arabidopsis (V.M. Williamson et al., unpublished). Interestingly, introduction of *Mi-1* confers nematode resistance, but not aphid resistance, to eggplant [48]. Furthermore, transfer of the tomato Hero A gene (which confers resistance to potato cyst nematode) into potato did not result in resistance to potato cyst nematodes [33]. Perhaps in the foreign host the *R*-gene does not have available other gene products that are required for recognition of the pathogen or signaling the defense response. Even within cultivated tomato, genotype differences have an effect on the efficacy of *Mi-1* [49]. Understanding this phenomenon will be necessary for successful transfer of nematode resistance to new species and might also provide insights into host factors mediating specificity of recognition or signaling.

Nematode genes involved in Nem-*R* gene mediated resistance

Nematode strains that can reproduce (i.e. that are virulent) on hosts carrying specific Nem-R genes have been identified for many interactions [50]. Virulence could be due to lack of or modification to the nematode gene product whose presence alerts that plant by way of the Nem-R gene. Alternatively, virulence could be due to a gain of function enabling the nematode to circumvent the

host resistance response of the host, for example, by producing antioxidants or altering the hormone balance to compromise the defense response [50,51]. Several strains of root-knot nematode that can reproduce on tomato with Mi-1 have been identified in field isolates and after greenhouse selection [50]. The search for an avirulence gene corresponding to Mi-1 has been hampered by the lack of sexual reproduction in nematodes that respond to Mi-1. Candidate Avr genes have been identified by differential expression analyses with transcripts from closely related strains of nematodes that differ in virulence [52,53] (C. Gleason, PhD thesis, University of California, Davis, 2003). However, a role for these genes in the interaction has not been confirmed.

Many genes encoding products that are secreted from plant parasitic nematodes and thousands of nematode ESTs have been identified, providing a rich source of candidate avirulence and pathogenicity genes [2,51]. However, functional analysis of these genes has been limited in part by the small size and endoparasitic lifestyle of plant parasitic nematodes. Blocking gene expression by RNA interference (RNAi) has been widely used to investigate gene function in C. elegans, and recent experiments indicate that targeted plant parasitic nematode genes can be silenced by soaking infective juveniles in double stranded RNA corresponding to that gene [54–56]. Because plant parasitic nematodes normally feed only on the cytoplasm of living plant cells, chemical stimuli are required for uptake of double stranded (ds) RNA from solution. Scoring the phenotype or assaying gene expression in individual worms is problematic because they are buried within the roots of their hosts. In addition, gene silencing seems to be short-lived, unlike the cycle of the nematode. Despite these limitations, RNAi is currently the most promising avenue for functional analysis. On the positive side, RNAi can be particularly useful for identification of an avirulence gene because silencing such a gene should enable the nematode to evade detection by the corresponding resistance gene and reproduce in resistant plants, providing a positive selection system. Although the silencing might be short-lived, for the *Mi-1* gene at least, resistance is determined within a few days of infection.

Although classical genetic studies are not possible on some nematodes due to the lack of sexual reproduction, other nematodes do reproduce sexually (Table 2). Most cyst nematodes reproduce by obligate outcrossing, and there is generally great variation in host range and response to specific resistance genes between and within field populations [57,58]. Controlled crosses using inbred populations of the potato cyst nematode G. rostochiensis indicated that a single recessive gene determines the ability of the nematode to reproduce on potato with the H1resistance gene [59]. Crosses between inbred lines of the soybean cyst nematode have identified mendelian segregation of both dominant and recessive traits that control the ability to reproduce on nematode-resistant soybean [60]. Genetic linkage maps using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)-based DNA markers have been produced for potato cyst and soybean cyst nematodes [61,62]. However, the requirement of separate sexes to

Table 2.	Genetics	and	genomi	cs of	plant	: parasitic	nematod	es
----------	----------	-----	--------	-------	-------	-------------	---------	----

Species	Reproductive mode	Genetic map	Number of ESTs ^a
Globodera pallida	Outcrossing	Not available	4398
Globodera rostochiensis	Outcrossing	Yes	6053
Heterodera glycines	Outcrossing	Yes	25 131
Heterodera schachtii	Outcrossing	No	2863
Meloidogyne hapla	Meiotic parthe- nogenesis and outcrossing	In progress	24 452
Meloidogyne incognita	Mitotic parthe- nogenesis	Not available	23 117

^aData from the NCBI-EST database, December 2005.

produce progeny and the tiny size of the organisms limits the potential to test markers and virulence phenotype of individual progeny.

The unusual reproductive strategies of some rootknot nematode species provide the potential for both sexual and asexual reproduction. Many strains of Meloidogyne hapla can produce progeny by both asexual reproduction (meiotic parthenogenesis) and sexual reproduction [63]. This situation enables production of both segregating populations and inbred lines. DNA polymorphisms are abundant between strains of this species and molecular markers have been used to monitor genetic crosses and to produce F2 lines [64] (Q.L. Liu and V.M. Williamson, unpublished). Isolates of *M. hapla* also differ in ability to reproduce on specific plant species and cultivars. For example, some isolates are virulent and others are avirulent on the common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) cultivar NemaSnap, which carries a single, dominant gene for M. hapla resistance [65]. Analysis of segregation of virulence in progeny of a controlled cross indicates that virulence in the nematode is inherited as a single recessive trait, and that the nematode-bean interaction might be classified as a gene-for-gene type interaction. A genetic map with molecular and phenotypic markers is under construction and sequence analysis of the genome of *M. hapla* is in progress [3] (Q.L. Liu and V.A. Williamson, unpublished). Together these efforts have made M. hapla an excellent candidate as a model plant parasitic nematode for functional genomic analyses.

Plant parasitic nematodes have small genomes $(\sim 100 \text{ Mb})$, similar in size to that of the model nematode *C. elegans.* In addition to the ongoing genetic and genomic analysis of *M. hapla*, programs to sequence the genomes of *H. glycines*, *G. pallida* and *M. incognita* have been initiated or are planned (K. Lambert, J. Jones, P. Abad, personal communication). When these genomes are sequenced, it should soon be possible to map and clone nematode genes that have roles in virulence and pathogenicity.

Concluding remarks

During the past decade there has been significant progress in the molecular and genetic characterization of plant-nematode interactions. Identification of several nematode resistance genes has provided insights into possible mechanisms for achieving a resistance phenotype. RNAi technology is a particularly promising tool for dissecting virulence traits in the nematode and the resistance pathway in the host. Rapid progress in genomics and genetics of both plants and nematodes will improve our understanding of plant-nematode interactions. In addition, identification of both plant and nematode genes involved in key stages of incompatible plant-nematode interactions will provide the opportunity for developing novel resistance strategies for durable nematode resistance in crop plants.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by United States Department of Agriculture National Research Initiative Award 2003-00996 and National Science Foundation Award IOB-05-20824 to V.M.W. A.K. acknowledges financial support from the Scottish Executive Environment Rural Affairs Department. We thank Isgouhi Kaloshian, Kris Lambert, John Jones and Pierre Abad for providing unpublished results and Khalid Meksem for helpful comments.

References

- 1 Blaxter, M.L. *et al.* (1998) A molecular evolutionary framework for the phylum nematoda. *Nature* 392, 71–75
- 2 Parkinson, J. et al. (2004) A transcriptomic analysis of the phylum nematoda. Nat. Genet. 36, 1259–1267
- 3 Mitreva, M. et al. (2005) Comparative genomics of nematodes. Trends Genet. 21, 573–581
- 4 Sasser, J.N. and Freckman, D.W. (1987). A world perspective on nematology: the role of the society. *Vistas on Nematology*. (Veech, J.A. and Dickson, D.W., eds), pp. 7–14 Society of Nematologists
- 5 Williamson, V.M. and Gleason, C.A. (2003) Plant nematode interactions. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 6, 327-333
- 6 Williamson, V.M. (1999) Plant nematode resistance genes. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2, 327–331
- 7 Cai, D. et al. (1997) Positional cloning of a gene for nematode resistance in sugar beet. Science 275, 832-834
- 8 Milligan, S.B. *et al.* (1998) The root knot nematode resistance gene Mi from tomato is a member of the leucine zipper, nucleotide binding, leucine-rich repeat family of plant genes. *Plant Cell* 10, 1307–1319
- 9 Vos, P. et al. (1998) The tomato Mi-1 gene confers resistance to both root-knot nematodes and potato aphids. Nat. Biotechnol. 16, 1365-1369
- 10 Ernst, K. et al. (2002) The broad-spectrum potato cyst nematode resistance gene (*Hero*) from tomato is the only member of a large gene family of NBS-LRR genes with an unusual amino acid repeat in the LRR region. *Plant J.* 31, 127–136
- 11 van der Vossen, E.A. et al. (2000) Homologues of a single resistancegene cluster in potato confer resistance to distinct pathogens: a virus and a nematode. Plant J. 23, 567–576
- 12 Paal, J. et al. (2004) Molecular cloning of the potato Gro1-4 gene conferring resistance to pathotype Ro1 of the root nematode Globodera rostochiensis, based on a candidate gene approach. Plant J. 38, 285–297
- 13 Meksem, K. et al. (2001) Forest' resistance to the soybean cyst nematode is bigenic: saturation mapping of the Rhg1 and Rhg4 loci. Theor. Appl. Genet. 103, 710–717
- 14 Hauge, B.M. et al. (2001) Monsanto. Nucleic acid molecules and other molecules associated with soybean cyst nematode resistance. U.S.P. No. 20030005491
- 15 Lightfoot, D. and Meksem, K. (2002). University of Illinois. Isolated polynucleotides and polypeptides relating to loci underlying resistance to soybean cyst nematode and soybean sudden death syndrome and methods employing same. U.S.P. No. 20020144310
- 16 Hulbert, S.H. et al. (2001) Resistance gene complexes: evolution and utilization. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 39, 285–312

17 Leister, D. (2004) Tandem and segmental gene duplication and recombination in the evolution of plant disease resistance genes. *Trends Genet.* 20, 116–122

18 Seah, S. et al. (2004) The nematode-resistance gene, Mi-1, is associated with an inverted chromosomal segment in susceptible compared to resistant tomato. Theor. Appl. Genet. 108, 1635–1642

- 19 van der Vossen, E.A.G. et al. (2005) The Rpi-blb gene from Solanum bulbocastanum is an Mi-1 gene homolog conferring broad-spectrum late blight resistance in potato. Plant J. 44, 208–222
- $20\,$ Grube, R.C. (2000) Comparative genetics of disease resistance within the solanaceae. Genetics 155, 873–887
- 21 Martin, G.B. et al. (2003) Understanding the functions of plant disease resistance proteins. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 54, 23–61
- 22 Belkhadir, Y. et al. (2004) Plant resistance protein signalling: NBS-LRR proteins and their partners. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 7, 391–399
- 23 Bakker, E. et al. (2004) A high-resolution map of the H1 locus harbouring resistance to the potato cyst nematode Globodera rostochiensis. Theor. Appl. Genet. 109, 146-152
- 24 Yaghoobi, J. et al. (2005) Fine mapping of the nematode resistance gene Mi-3 in Solanum peruvianum and construction of a S. lycopersicum DNA contig spanning the locus. Mol. Genet. Genomics 274, 60–69
- 25 Ammiraju, J.S. *et al.* (2003) The heat-stable root-knot nematode resistance gene *Mi-9* from lycopersicon peruvianum is localized on the short arm of chromosome 6. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106, 478–484
- 26 de Majnik, J. et al. (2003) The cre1 and cre3 nematode resistance genes are located at homeologous loci in the wheat genome. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 16, 1129–1134
- 27 Gheysen, G. and Fenoll, C. (2002) Gene expression in nematode feeding sites. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 40, 191–219
- 28 Rossi, M. et al. (1998) The nematode resistance gene Mi of tomato confers resistance against the potato aphid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95, 9750–9754
- 29 Nombela, G. et al. (2003) The root-knot nematode resistance gene Mi-1.2 of tomato is responsible for resistance against the whitefly Bemisia tabaci. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 16, 645–649
- 30 Kaloshian, I. (2004) Gene-for-gene disease resistance: bridging insect pest and pathogen defense. J. Chem. Ecol. 30, 2419–2438
- 31 Klingler, J. et al. (2005) Aphid resistance in Medicago truncatula involves antixenosis and phloem-specific, inducible antibiosis, and maps to a single locus flanked by NBS-LRR resistance gene analogs. *Plant Physiol.* 137, 1445–1455
- 32 Branch, C. *et al.* (2004) Salicylic acid in part of the *Mi-1*-mediated defense response to root-knot nematode in tomato. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 17, 351–357
- 33 Sobczak, M. et al. (2005) Characterization of susceptibility and resistance responses to potato cyst nematode (Globodera spp.) infection of tomato lines in the absence and presence of the broadspectrum nematode resistance hero gene. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 18, 158–168
- 34 Bleve-Zacheo, T. et al. (1998) The pepper resistance genes Me1 and Me3 induce differential penetration rates and temporal sequences of root cell ultrastructural changes upon nematode infection. Plant Sci. 133, 79–90
- 35 Martinez de Ilarduya, O. and Kaloshian, I. (2001) Mi-1.2 transcripts accumulate ubiquitously in root-knot nematode resistant Lycopersicon esculentum. J. Nematol. 33, 116–120
- 36 Goggin, F. et al. (2004) Developmental regulation of Mi-mediated aphid resistance is independent of Mi-1.2 transcript levels. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 17, 532–536
- 37 Thurau, T. et al. (2003) The promoter of the nematode resistance gene HsI^{pro-1} activates a nematode-responsive and feeding site-specific gene expression in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* l.) and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Mol. Biol. 52, 643–660
- 38 Hwang, C.F. et al. (2000) Evidence for a role of the N terminus and leucine-rich repeat region of the Mi gene product in regulation of localized cell death. Plant Cell 12, 1319–1329
- 39 Hwang, C.F. and Williamson, V.M. (2003) Leucine-rich repeatmediated intermolecular interactions in nematode reorganization and cell death signalling by the tomato resistance protein Mi. *Plant J.* 34, 585–593
- 40 Jurkowski, G.I. et al. (2004) Arabidopsis DND2, a second cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel gene for which mutation causes the

'defense, no death' phenotype. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 17, 511–520

- 41 Hu, G. et al. (2005) EDS1 in tomato is required for resistance mediated by TIR-class R genes and the receptor-like R gene Ve. Plant J. 42, 376–391
- 42 Lambert, K.N. et al. (1999) Cloning and characterization of an esophageal-gland-specific chorismate mutase from the phytoparasitic nematode Meloidogyne javanica. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 12, 328–336
- 43 Alkharouf, N. et al. (2004) Analysis of expressed sequence tags from roots of resistant soybean infected by the soybean cyst nematode. Genome 47, 380–388
- 44 Valentine, T. *et al.* (2004) Efficient virus-induced gene silencing in roots using a modified tobacco rattle virus vector. *Plant Physiol.* 136, 3999–4009
- 45 Collier, R. *et al.* (2005) *Ex vitro* composite plants: an inexpensive, rapid method for root biology. *Plant J.* 43, 449–457
- 46 Martinez de Ilarduya, O. *et al.* (2004) *Rme1* is necessary for *Mi-1*mediated resistance and acts early in the resistance pathway. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 17, 55–61
- 47 Atkinson, H.J. et al. (2003) Engineering plants for nematode resistance. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 41, 615–639
- 48 Goggin, F.L. et al. (2005) Heterologous expression of the Mi-1.2 gene from tomato confers resistance against nematodes but not aphids in eggplant. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 19, 383–388
- 49 Jacquet, M. et al. (2005) Variation in resistance to the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita in tomato genotypes bearing the Mi gene. Plant Pathol. 54, 93–99
- 50 Castagnone-Sereno, P. (2002) Genetic variability of nematodes: a threat to the durability of plant resistance genes? *Euphytica* 124, 193–199
- 51 Davis, E.L. et al. (2004) Getting to the roots of parasitism by nematodes. Trends Parasitol. 20, 134–141
- 52 Semblat, J.P. *et al.* (2001) Molecular cloning of a cDNA encoding an amphid-secreted putative avirulence protein from the root-knot nematode meloidogyne incognita. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 14, 72–79
- 53 Neveu, C. et al. (2003) A set of genes differentially expressed between avirulent and virulent meloidogyne incognita near-isogenic lines encode secreted proteins. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 16, 1077–1084
- 54 Urwin, P.E. et al. (2002) Ingestion of double-stranded RNA by preparasitic juvenile cyst nematodes leads to RNA interference. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 15, 747–752
- 55 Rosso, M.N. et al. (2005) Application of RNA interference to root-knot nematode genes encoding esophageal gland proteins. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 18, 615–620
- 56 Chen, Q. et al. (2005) Functional analysis of pathogenicity proteins of the potato cyst nematode Globodera rostochiensis using RNAi. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 18, 621–625
- 57 Bakker, J. et al. (1993) Changing concepts and molecular approaches in the management of virulence genes in potato cyst nematodes. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 31, 169–190
- 58 Dong, K. et al. (2005) Virulence genes in Heterodera glycines: allele frequencies and Ror gene groups among field isolates and inbred lines. *Phytopathology* 95, 186–191
- 59 Janssen, R. et al. (1991) Mendelian proof for a gene-for-gene relationship between virulence of Globodera rostochiensis and the H1 resistance gene in Solanum tuberosum ssp. andigena CPC 1673. Rev. Nematol. 14, 213–219
- 60 Dong, K. and Opperman, C.H. (1997) Genetic analysis of parasitism in the soybean cyst nematode *Heterodera glycines*. *Genetics* 146, 1311–1318
- 61 Rouppe van der Voort, J.N. et al. (1999) Linkage analysis by genotyping of sibling populations: a genetic map for the potato cyst nematode constructed using 'pseudo-F2' strategy. Mol. Gen. Genet. 261, 1021–1031
- 62 Atibalentja, N. et al. (2005) A genetic linkage map of the soybean cyst nematode Heterodera glycines. Mol. Genet. Genomics 273, 273–281
- 63 Van der Beek, J. et al. (1998) Cytology of parthogenesis of five Meloidogyne species. Fundam. Appl. Nematol. 21, 393–399

- 64 Liu, Q.L. and Williamson, V.M. Host-specific pathogenicity and genome differences between inbred strains of *Meloidogyne hapla*. J. Nematol. (in press)
- 65 Chen, P. and Roberts, P.A. (2003) Genetic analysis of (a)virulence in Meloidogyne hapla to resistance in bean (Phaseolus vulgaris). Nematology 5, 687–697
- 66 Claverie, M. et al. (2004) High-resolution mapping and chromosome landing at the root-knot nematode resistance locus Ma from Myrobolan plum using a large-insert BAC DNA library. Theor. Appl. Genet. 109, 1318–1327
- 67 Lorieux, M. et al. (2003) Linkage mapping of Hsa-1(Og), a resistance gene of African rice to the cyst nematode, Heterodera sacchari. Theor. Appl. Genet. 107, 691–696
- 68 Djian-Caporalino, C. et al. (2001) High-resolution genetic mapping of the pepper (Capsicum annuum l.) resistance loci Me_3 and Me_4 conferring heat-stable resistance to root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 103, 592–600
- 69 Rouppe van der Voort, J.N.A.M. et al. (1999) Development of a PCRbased selection assay for root-knot nematode resistance (*Rmc1*) by a comparative analysis of the Solanum bulbocastanum and S. tuberosum genome. Euphytica 106, 187–195
- 70 Chisholm, S.T. *et al.* (2006) Host-microbe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell* 124, 803–814
- 71 Dangl, J.L. and Jones, J.D.G. (2001) Plant pathogens and integrated defense responses to infection. *Nature* 411, 826–833

Elsevier joins major health information initiative

Elsevier has joined with scientific publishers and leading voluntary health organizations to create patientINFORM, a groundbreaking initiative to help patients and caregivers close a crucial information gap. patientINFORM is a free online service dedicated to disseminating medical research and is scheduled to launch in 2005.

Elsevier will provide the voluntary health organizations with increased online access to our peer-reviewed biomedical journals immediately upon publication, together with content from back issues. The voluntary health organizations will integrate the information into materials for patients and link to the full text of selected research articles on their websites.

patientINFORM has been created to allow patients seeking the latest information about treatment options online access to the most up-to-date, reliable research available for specific diseases.

'Not only will patientINFORM connect patients and their caregivers with the latest research, it will help them to put it into context. By making it easier to understand research findings, patientINFORM will empower patients to have a more productive dialogue with their physicians and make well-informed decisions about care', said Harmon Eyre, M.D., national chief medical officer of the American Cancer Society.

For more information, visit www.patientinform.org

Reuse of Current Opinion and Trends journal figures in multimedia presentations

It's easy to incorporate figures published in *Trends* or *Current Opinion* journals into your PowerPoint presentations or other imagedisplay programs. Simply follow the steps below to augment your presentations or teaching materials with our fine figures!

- 1. Locate the article with the required figure in the Science Direct journal collection
- 2. Click on the 'Full text + links' hyperlink
- 3. Scroll down to the thumbnail of the required figure
- 4. Place the cursor over the image and click to engage the 'Enlarge Image' option
- 5. On a PC, right-click over the expanded image and select 'Copy' from pull-down menu (Mac users: hold left button down and then select the 'Copy image' option)
- 6. Open a blank slide in PowerPoint or other image-display program
- 7. Right-click over the slide and select 'paste' (Mac users hit 'Apple-V' or select the 'Edit-Paste' pull-down option).

Permission of the publisher, Elsevier, is required to re-use any materials in *Trends* or *Current Opinion* journals or any other works published by Elsevier. Elsevier authors can obtain permission by completing the online form available through the Copyright Information section of Elsevier's Author Gateway at http://authors.elsevier.com/. Alternatively, readers can access the request form through Elsevier's main web site at http://www.elsevier.com/locate/permissions.